#### PCT

## WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



#### INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

| (51) International Patent Classification 6:  |        | (11) International Publication Number: WO 99/53016  |
|--|--------|---|
| C12N   | A2     | (43) International Publication Date: 21 October 1999 (21.10.99)                                     |
| (21) International Application Number: PCT/US (22) International Filing Date: 8 April 1999 (   |        | CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL,   |
| (30) Priority Data: 60/081,348 9 April 1998 (09.04.98) Not furnished 7 April 1999 (07.04.99)   |        | Published    Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report. |
| (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): A BOARD OF REGENTS, acting on behalf of A STATE UNIVERSITY [US/US]; Tempe, AZ 8528 | RIZON  | A   |
| (72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): WINICOV, Ilga 5452 North 78th Street, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (US                 |        | ];  |
| (74) Agent: MYBECK, Richard, R.; Suite 10, 8010 East Trail, Scottsdale, AZ 85258 (US).   | t Morg | un  |
|  |        |   |
|  |        |   |

(54) Title: EXPRESSION OF *ALFINI* AND METHODS FOR PRODUCING TRANSGENIC PLANTS HAVING INCREASED ROOT GROWTH AND ROOT SPECIFIC GENE ACTIVATION

#### (57) Abstract

Alfin1 cDNA encodes a putative transcription factor associated with salt tolerance in alfalfa (Medicago sativa L). The recombinant protein binds DNA in a sequence specific manner, including promoter fragments of the salt inducible gene MsPRP2. Alfin1 function was tested in transgenic alfalfa under the control of the 35S promoter in the sense and antisense orientations with the endogenous MsPRP2 as a reporter gene. Calli overexpressing Alfin1 were more resistant to growth inhibition by 171 mM NaCl than vector transformed controls, while calli expressing Alfin1 antisense were more sensitive to salt inhibition. Transgenic plants overexpressing Alfin1 in the sense orientation grew well. In contrast, the antisense transgenic plants grew poorly in soil, demonstrating that Alfin1 expression is essential for normal plant development. Transgenic calli and plant roots overexpressing Alfin1 showed enhanced levels of endogenous MsPRP2 mRNA accumulation. However, MsPRP2 mRNA accumulation was also regulated in a tissue specific manner as shown in leaves of transgenics overexpressing Alfin1. These results suggest that Alfin1 acts as a transcriptional regulator in plants and MsPRP2 expression in alfalfa. Alfin1 overexpressing transgenics showed salinity tolerance comparable to one of our salt-tolerant plants, indicating that Alfin1 also functions in gene regulation in salt tolerance.

### FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| AL | Albania                  | ES | Spain               | LS | Lesotho               | SI | Slovenia                 |
|----|--------------------------|----|---------------------|----|-----------------------|----|--------------------------|
| AM | Armenia                  | FI | Finland             | LT | Lithuania             | SK | Slovakia                 |
| ΑT | Austria                  | FR | France              | LU | Luxembourg            | SN | Senegal                  |
| ΑU | Australia                | GA | Gabon               | LV | Latvia                | SZ | Swaziland                |
| ΑZ | Azerbaijan               | GB | United Kingdom      | MC | Monaco                | TD | Chad                     |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina   | GE | Georgia             | MD | Republic of Moldova   | TG | Togo                     |
| BB | Barbados                 | GH | Ghana               | MG | Madagascar            | TJ | Tajikistan               |
| BE | Belgium                  | GN | Guinea              | MK | The former Yugoslav   | TM | Turkmenistan             |
| BF | Burkina Faso             | GR | Greece              |    | Republic of Macedonia | TR | Turkey                   |
| BG | Bulgaria                 | HU | Hungary             | ML | Mali                  | TT | Trinidad and Tobago      |
| BJ | Benin                    | IE | Ireland             | MN | Mongolia              | UA | Ukraine                  |
| BR | Brazil                   | IL | Israel              | MR | Mauritania            | UG | Uganda                   |
| BY | Belarus                  | IS | Iceland             | MW | Malawi                | US | United States of America |
| CA | Canada                   | IT | Italy               | MX | Mexico                | UZ | Uzbekistan               |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan               | NE | Niger                 | VN | Viet Nam                 |
| CG | Congo                    | KE | Kenya               | NL | Netherlands           | YU | Yugoslavia               |
| CH | Switzerland              | KG | Kyrgyzstan          | NO | Norway                | zw | Zimbabwe                 |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire            | KP | Democratic People's | NZ | New Zealand           |    |                          |
| CM | Cameroon                 |    | Republic of Korea   | PL | Poland                |    |                          |
| CN | China                    | KR | Republic of Korea   | PT | Portugal              |    |                          |
| CU | Cuba                     | КZ | Kazakstan           | RO | Romania               |    |                          |
| CZ | Czech Republic           | LC | Saint Lucia         | RU | Russian Federation    |    |                          |
| DE | Germany                  | Lì | Liechtenstein       | SD | Sudan                 |    |                          |
| DK | Denmark                  | LK | Sri Lanka           | SE | Sweden                |    |                          |
| EE | Estonia                  | LR | Liberia             | SG | Singapore             |    |                          |

# Expression of ALFIN1 and Methods for Producing Transgenic Plants Having Increased Root Growth and Root Specific Gene Activation Field of the Invention

This invention relates to the use of Alfin1 gene for the production of transgenic plants having increased root production, increased expression of root specific genes, and general growth. The unexpected vigor of transgenic plants using Alfin1 transgene and a root specific promoter from the MsPRP2 gene (containing Alfin1 DNA binding sites) which is regulated by Alfin1, are described in detail.

#### Background Art

10

15

20

25

5

Plant roots are organs adapted to accumulate water and nutrients from the soil and to provide these necessary ingredients for optimal growth and development of the entire plant. Plant roots also carry out specialized functions that contribute to overall plant yield and in case of root or tuber crops, constitute the essential plant yield. Root growth and development have been reviewed (See: Aeschbacher, R.A., Schiefelbein, J.W. and Benfey, P.N. The Genetic and Molecular Basis of Root Development. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 1994, 45,25-45; Schiefelbein, J.W., Masucci, J.D. and Wang, H. Building a Root: The Control of Patterning and Morphogenesis During Root Development. Plant Cell 9, 1997, 1089-1098). While meristem maintenance and proliferative growth of roots is determined by cell cycle regulation and cyclin expression or plant hormones such as ethylene and auxin can enhance root growth (See: Boerjan, W., Cervera, M-T., Delarue, M., Beeckman, T., Dewitte, Wl, Bellini, C., Caboche, M., Van Onckelen, H., Van Montagu, M. and Inze, D. Superroot, A Recessive Mutation in Arabidopsis, Confers Auxin Overproduction. Plant Cell 7, 1995, 1405-1419) additional regulatory factors appear also to be necessary for new root growth.

Root encounters with soil environmental conditions determine plant productivity and a well developed root system functions in nutrient and water uptake and determines to a significant extent plant yield. The function of the roots is profoundly influenced by soil nutrient composition and any toxins as well as abiotic

and biotic environmental stress. Thus, inhibition of shoot growth with continued root growth has been considered as a morphological adaptation to water stress or salt stress (See: Creelman, R.A., Mason, H.S., Bensen, R.J., Boyer, J.S. and Mullet, J.E. Water deficit and abscisic acid cause differential inhibition of shoot versus root growth in soybean seedlings. Plant Physiol., 1990; 92, 205-214). Increased root mass may also play an important defensive role in metal toxicity, since reduced shoot expansion and yield are considered to be secondary from inhibition of root growth and nutrient accumulation (See: Larsen, P.B., Kochian, L.V. and Howell, S.H. Al Inhibits both shoot development and root growth in als3, an Al-sensitive Arabidopsis mutant. Plant Physiol., 1997, 114, 1207-1214). Improved root growth and development thus can enhance overall plant productivity and appears to be a desirable trait for manipulation in plants.

5

10

15

20

25

The present work is an outgrowth of early efforts to develop crop plants with improved salt tolerance that included the regeneration of plants after selection of salt-tolerant cells in culture (See: Winicov, I. Characterization of salt tolerant alfalfa (Medicago sativa L plants regenerated from salt tolerant cell lines. Plant Cell Reports, 1991; 10, 561-564; Winicov, I. Characterization of rice (Oryza sativa L) plants regenerated from salt-tolerant cell lines. Plant Sci., 1996; 113, 105-111) coupled with identification of genes differentially regulated in the salt tolerant cells and plants (See: Winicov, I. and Bastola, D.R. Salt tolerance in crop plants: New approaches through tissue culture and gene regulation. Acta Physiol. Plant., 1997; 19, 435-449). Transgenic plants have been constructed in a number of other laboratories to over-express single genes, known to be up-regulated by salt/drought stress in prokaryotes or plants (See: Holmberg, N. and Bulow, L. Improving stress tolerance in plants by gene transfer. Trends in Plant Sci., 1998; 3, 61-65). However, the molecular mechanisms by which plants can acquire improved long term salt tolerance and maintain their productivity are still not understood and may involve the regulation of many genes (See: Winicov, I. New molecular approaches to improving salt tolerance in crop plants. Annals of Botany 1998; 82, 703-710), since salt

R.A. Mapping salt-tolerance genes in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) using trait-based marker analysis. Theor. Appl. Genet., 1993; 87, 184-192). Thus, the identification of regulatory genes that can influence the expression of other genes in a specific manner could be particularly useful in manipulating not only plant growth, but also enhance their tolerance to a variety of biotic and abiotic environmental stress conditions.

#### Disclosure of Invention

5

10

15

**20** ·

25

Several gene transcripts have been cloned which are enhanced in the salttolerant alfalfa cells and also are salt induced at the mRNA level in whole plants. The present disclosure focuses on two particularly interesting and novel isolates. One is Alfin1, which encodes a putative zinc-finger regulatory protein (See: Winicov, I. cDNA encoding putative zinc finger motifs from salt-tolerant alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) cells. Plant Physiol., 1993; 102, 681-682.). The other is MsPRP2, a single copy gene, which encodes a proline-rich protein with a hydrophobic cysteine-rich carboxy terminus that could serve as a linker molecule between the cell wall and the membrane (See: Winicov, I. and Deutch, C.E. Characterization of a cDNA clone from salt-tolerant alfalfa cells that identifies salt inducible root specific transcripts. J. Plant Physiol., 1994; 144, 222-228; Deutch, C.E. and Winicov, I. Posttranscriptional regulation of a salt-inducible alfalfa gene encoding a putative chimeric proline-rich cell wall protein. Plant Mol. Biol., 1995; 27, 411-418). Interestingly, both of these genes are expressed primarily in roots and MsPRP2 is strongly salt inducible upon continued growth of the plants in 87 or 171 mM NaCl. Alfin1 is a unique gene in the alfalfa genome and appears to be conserved among diverse plants, including rice and Arabidopsis (See: Winicov, I. and Bastola, D.R. Salt tolerance in crop plants: new approaches through tissue culture and gene regulation. Acta Physiol. Plant., 1997; 19, 435-449; Winicov, I. and Bastola, D.R. Transgenic over-expression of the transcription factor Alfin 1 enhances expression of the endogenous MsPRP2 gene

in alfalfa and improves salinity tolerance of the plants. Plant Physiol., 1999; (in press).

Accordingly, a principal object of the present invention is to enhance the production of transgenic plants having increased root production and general growth.

Another object of the present invention is to enhance the vigor of transgenic plants using Alfin1 transgene and a root specific promoter from the MsPRP2 gene (which is influenced by Alfin1) to enhance overall plant yield.

These and still further objects as shall hereinafter appear are readily fulfilled by the present invention in a remarkably unexpected manner as will be readily discerned from the following detailed description of an exemplary embodiment thereof especially when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings in which like parts bear like numerals throughout the several views.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

In the drawings:

15

20

25

10

5

- FIG. 1A shows the sequence of Alfin1 cDNA. (GenBank accession number L07291).
  - FIG. 1B shows the expression of Alfin1 fusion protein in E. coli.
  - FIG. 2 shows the DNA sequences that bind Alfin1 in vitro.
- FIG. 3 shows the MsPRP2 genomic region in M. sariva (Gen Bank accession number AF 028841).
- FIG. 4 shows the schematic representation of Alfin1 sense and antisense constructs used in transformation of alfalfa.
- FIG. 5 shows alfalfa regenerated from Alfin1 sense and antisense transformed cell lines.
- FIG. 6 shows northern blot analysis of Alfin1 and MsPRP2 expression in transgenic calli and plants from Alfin1 sense transformants.

#### Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention

Since Alfin1 cDNA was cloned by differential screening, the function of Alfin1 as a potential regulatory factor in plant roots was not known and needed to be

demonstrated. See FIG. 1, in which the Alfin1 cDNA sequence and deduced amino acid sequence are shown. Cys and His residues comprising the putative zinc finger are underlined. Dashed line indicates strongly acidic region of the protein. If Alfin1 were to act as a transcription factor in root specific regulation, DNA binding of the protein might be expected. To test for sequence specific DNA binding, recombinant Alfin1 protein was first expressed in Escherichia coli from the construct shown in FIG. 1B, in which the schematic representation of the pET-29b construct for Alfin1 fusion protein is shown. The top line of the amino acid sequence shows the S-Tag and the biotinylated thrombin cleavage site of the vector. The Alfin1 sequence below shows in bold the nine N-terminal amino acids deleted in the construct, the negatively charged region and the putative zinc binding domain with the relevant Cys4, His/Cys3 residues underlined. The affinity purified recombinant protein was shown to be authentic Alfin1 protein by amino acid sequencing the amino terminal region of the protein. This sequence was identical to the sequence predicted from cloned cDNA as shown in Table 1 below.

5

10

15

20

25

30

**Table 1.** Recombinant Alfin1 amino acid sequence is identical with that predicted from the cDNA sequence.

| AA   | 10         | 20         | 30         | 40         | 50        |
|------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|      | TVEEVFSD   | YKGRRAGLIK | ALTTDVEKFY | QLVDPEKENL | CLYGFPNET |
| cDNA | PRTVEEVFSD |            |            | QLVDPEKENL |           |

Recombinant Alfin1 protein was purified and the amino acid sequence determined from thrombin cleaved protein as described in Bastola, D.R., Pethe, V.V. and Winicov, I. (1998) Alfin1, a novel zinc finger protein in alfalfa roots that binds to promoter elements in the salt inducible *MsPRP2* gene. Plant Biol. 38, 1123-1135. Numbers indicate position of predicted amino acid from initiating methionine.

To identify DNA sequences that are recognized by Alfin1 protein, the purified Alfin 1 protein was used in the "random DNA binding" assay (See: Rauscher III F.J., Morris J.F., Tournay O.E., Cook D.M., Curran T. Binding of the Wilm's tumor locus

zinc finger protein to the EGR-1 consensus sequence. Science, 1990; 250: 1259-1262) and the bound DNA purified by four rounds of PCR amplification and binding, followed by cloning of the isolated sequences. Sequence analysis of the isolated clones (FIG. 2) showed a consensus sequence in high affinity binding clones that was either GTGGNG or GNGGTG, confirming that Alfin1 was indeed a specific DNA binding factor that could potentially function in gene regulation. See FIG. 2 in which (A) shows Consensus sequences aligned from individual clones that bind Alfin1, which were isolated after four rounds of gel retardation assays coupled with PCR amplification of the bound sequences and (B) shows sequence elements similar to those cloned by PCR amplification of Alfin1 protein bound sequences that are found in the three MsPRP2 promoter fragments which bind Alfin1 protein in vitro.

5

10

15

20

25

Alfin1 was found to show a strong root specificity in its expression pattern. Therefore, as a DNA binding protein it would be a likely regulator for root specific gene expression. Three fragments from the 1552 bp root specific and salt inducible MsPRP2 promoter (FIG. 3) from alfalfa (See: Bastola, Pethe and Winicov, 1998, supra) were found to bind recombinant Alfin1 protein in vitro, while a similar size control DNA fragment showed no DNA binding. See FIG. 3 in which DNA sequence of 1552bp of the MsPRP2 promoter is shown. (Underlined are: the translation start site at +1; the TATAA and CAAT sequences; the TfiI cleavage sites used for isolating Fragments 1, 2 and 3 for DNA binding experiments with recombinant Alfin1; the potential binding sites for Alfin1 as well as myc and myb transcription factors as discussed in the specification. (\*) indicates that the potential binding site is found on the complementary DNA strand. This nucleotide sequence data has been assigned accession number AF028841 by Gen Bank, an International genetic information data base operated by the United States of America. The binding to the MsPRP2 promoter fragments was specific, could be inhibited by EDTA, was dependent on recombinant Alfin1 protein concentration and showed different affinities for each individual fragment. The DNA sequence of each fragment contained a variant of the G rich consensus binding sequence for Alfin1 protein that

was identified in the random oligonucleotide selection as shown in FIG. 2, and could account for the observed binding in gel retardation assays. The correlation of this finding with both Alfin1 and MsPRP2 expression in roots and MsPRP2 inducibility by salt supported our hypothesis that Alfin1 could play a role in gene expression and root—maintenance in our salt-tolerant plants and suggested a potential role for Alfin1 in strong root growth and development.

5

10

15

20

25

Since MsPRP2 expression is root specific in alfalfa, the newly characterized promoter region was of interest for identification of potential root specific DNA sequence elements. Although a number of root specific genes have been identified and several promoter regions have been shown to contain sequences for root specific expression of reporter genes (rev. Aeschbacher et al., 1994, supra), currently no consensus sequence specifying root specific expression has been identified. The 90 bp truncated cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter has been shown to contain a cis- regulatory element (TGACG) that interacts with the factor ASF1 (See: Katagiri, F., Lam, E. and Chua, N-H. Two tobacco DNA-binding proteins with homology to the nuclear factor CREB. Nature 1989; 340, 727-730), but other root specific gene promoters evidently do not contain this sequence. The MsPRP2 promoter contains one 5'CGTCA 3' sequence (reverse of TGACG, the ASF1 binding element) at position -1033, but contains none of the root specific elements implicated in ToBR7 gene regulation (See: Yamamoto, Y.T., Taylor, C.G., Acedo, G.N., Cheng, C-L and Conkling, M.A. Characterization of ais- acting sequences regulating root-specific gene expression in tobacco. Plant Cell, 1991; 3, 371-382). It was therefore necessary to determine whether Alfin1 binding sites represent a common element in promoter sequences for genes expressed in roots. A limited list of Alfin1 binding sequences in promoter regions from genes that are expressed in roots and salt stress are shown in Table 2, below, and demonstrates that all of these promoters contain some variation of the Alfin1 binding sequence. The CaMV 35S minimal promoter (-95 to -51) which is root specific (See: Lam, E., Benfey, P.N., Gilmartin, P.M., Fang, R-X. and Chua, N-H. Site-specific mutations alter in vitro

factor binding and change promoter expression pattern in transgenic plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 1989; USA 86, 7890-7894), contains an Alfin1 binding site on the non-coding strand. The plant species represented in Table 2 are diverse and include both monocots and dicots. These results are consistent with our observation that Alfin1 sequence is widely conserved. In case of ToRB7, SbPRPP1 and PhyA promoters, Alfin1 binding sequences are located in regions that have been identified by deletion experiments as necessary for root expression (See: Yamamoto, Y.T., Taylor, C. G., Acedo, G. N., Cheng, C-L and Conkling, M.A. (1991) Characterization of cis-acting sequences regulating root-specific gene expression in tobacco. Plant Cell 3, 371-382). Several Alfin1 binding sequences are found in the promoter of another salt/drought inducible transcription factor Atmyb2 as well as the glutathione S transferase root specific genes induced by auxin or heavy metals such as copper and cadmium. Alfin1 binding sites are also abundant in the sucrose synthase promoters from different gene classes in potato and maize. All promoters of the tuber expressed patatin I multigene family contain conserved Alfin1 binding sites.

Table 2. Alfin 1 binding sites found in salt/drought stress induced promoter sequences or root specific expression.

All sequences identified relative to the first ATG codon

5

10

15

20

|    | Gene   | Sequence                   | GenBank Accession # |
|----|--|----------------------------|---------------------|
|    | MsPRP2 alfalfa root, cell wall salt stress       | - 299 5' GTGGGG 3'- 289    | AF028841            |
| 25 | HVA1 barley ABRE 2 root and shoot osmotic stress | - 93 5' GTGGCG 3' - 87     | X78205              |
|    | Atmyb2 Arabidopsis                               | - 559 5' GAAGTG 3'- 555    | D14712              |
|    | root, petiole                                    | - 461 5' GTGTGG 3'- 435    |                     |
| 30 | osmotic stress<br>transcription factor           | - 222 5' GCCGTG 3'- 217    |                     |
|    | rab28 maize<br>embryo, vegetative                | - 378 5' GTCGTGCAG 3'- 360 | X59138              |
| 35 |  |                            |                     |

### Table 2 (cont.)

|    | sh-1 maize             | - 974 5' GTGCCG 3'- 969       | Werr et al. 1985    |
|----|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|    | root                   | - 855 5' GTGCTG 3'- 850       |                     |
| 5  | sucrose synthase       | - 825 5' GTTGTG 3'- 820       |                     |
|    | eric.<br>Be            | - 749 5' GCTGTG 3'- 744       |                     |
|    | . T <sub>ex</sub>      | - 617 5' GTGGGGTGG 3'- 609    |                     |
| •  |                        | - 607 5' GTGGGGTGGGGGAG :     | 3'- 609             |
|    |                        | - 492 5' GTGTCG 3'- 487       |                     |
| 10 |                        | - 392 5' GTGGGG 3'- 387       |                     |
|    | sus3-65 potato         | -1502 5' GTGATG 3'-1497       | U <b>240</b> 88     |
|    | root, stem             | -1082 5' GTTGTG 3'-1077       |                     |
|    | sucrose synthase       | - 891 5' GTGAAG 3'- 886       |                     |
|    |                        | - 804 5' GAAGTG 3'- 799       |                     |
| 15 |                        | - 165 5' GTGACGGTG 3'- 147    |                     |
|    | sus4-15 potato         | - 903 5' GTGAGG 3'- 898       | U24087              |
|    | root, sucrose synthase |                               | ·                   |
| 20 | PS20 (class I)* potato | - 600 5' GAGGTG 3'- 595       | Mignery et al. 1988 |
|    | tuber, patatin         | - 480 5' GTGAGG 3'- 475       | 0 ,                 |
|    | •                      | - 297 5' GAGGGGGTG 3'- 289    |                     |
|    |                        | - 160 5' GCGGTG 3'- 155       | ·                   |
| 25 |                        | - 146 5' GTGAGG 3'- 141       | •                   |
| 25 | salT rice              | -1451 5' GTGCAG 3'-1446       | Z25811              |
|    | root, sheath           | - 843 5' GTGACG 3'- 828       |                     |
|    | osmotic stress         | •                             |                     |
| 30 | RCg2 rice root         | 1445 5' GTGAAG 3' 1450        | L27210              |
|    |                        | 1456 5' GCTGTG 3' 1461        | ·                   |
|    |                        |                               |                     |
|    | GOS9 rice root         | - 711 5' GGAGTG 3'- 706       | X51909              |
|    |                        | - 300 5' GACGTG 3'- 295       |                     |
| 35 |                        | - 204 5' GAGGTG 3'- 199       |                     |
|    | SbPRP1 soybean         | - 942 5' GTGTGGGCGGAG 3'- 931 | IO2746              |
|    | root                   | - 213 5' GAGGTG 3'- 208       |                     |
| 40 | Osmotin tobacco        | -1447 5' GTGGTG 3'-1442       | S68111              |
|    | mostly root,PRP prot.  | - 596 5' GTGGTG 3'- 591       |                     |
|    |                        | - 471 5' GTGGAG 3'- 466       |                     |
|    |                        |                               |                     |

Table 2 (cont.)

35

| Ę.       | OLP tobacco osmotin like, root     | - 296 5' GTGGCG 3'- 291   | Sato et al. 1996     |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 5        | phyA tobacco transgenic root       | -1133 5' GTGTGG 3'-1128   | Adam et al. 1995     |
| 10<br>15 | TobRB7 tobacco root                | -1809 5' GTGGAG 3'-1804<br>-1751 5' GTGCGGTTG 3'-1742<br>-1640 5' GGGGTG 3'-1635<br>-1633 5' GTGTTG 3'-1628<br>-1245 5' GTGTTG 3'-1240<br>- 724 5' GATGTGGAG 3'- 716<br>- 377 5' GTGGAG 3'- 372 | Yamamoto et al. 1991 |
| 20       | HRGPnt3 tobacco root extensin      | · · · · · ·   | X13885               |
| 25       | <i>Nt103-1</i> tobacco root<br>GST | - 928 5' GTGGTG 3'- 923   | X56268               |
| 25       | Nt103-35 tobacco root<br>GST       | -1096 5' GAGGTG 3'-1091<br>- 994 5' GAGGTGGAG 3'- 886<br>- 644 5' GAGGTTGTG 3'- 633<br>- 608 5' GTGGGG 3'- 603  | X56269               |
| 30       | CDeT27-45 resurrection plant       | - 703 5' GTGTGGGCG 3'- 695  | X69883               |
|          |                                    |   | <del></del>          |

<sup>\*</sup> Essentially the same sequences are found in the same order also for *PAT21*, *PS3* and *PS27* and to a lesser extent in *PS7*. Patatin Class II genes do not have this format, but have similar sequences on the non-coding strand.

Selection was made for the coding strand on basis of at least two adjacent triplets, one of which is GTG and the other is bordered by a G as defined by in vitro Alfin1 binding (Bastola, Pethe and Winicov, 1998, supra). Additional sites were found on the non-coding strand in many of these gene promoters. Numbers in parentheses indicate GenBank accession numbers.

Adam, E., Kozma-Bognar, L, Dallmann, G. and Nagy, F. (1995) Transcription of tobacco phytochrome-A genes initiates at multiple start sites and requires multiple cis-acting regulatory elements. Plant Mol. Biol. 29, 983-993.

Mignery, G.A., Pikaard, C.S. and Park, W.D. (1988) Molecular characterization of the paratin multigene family of potato. Gene 62, 27-44.

Sato, F., Kitjima, S., Koyama, T. and Yamada, Y. (1996) Ethylene-induced gene expression of osmotin-like protein, a neutral isoform of tobacco PR-5, is mediated by the AGCCGCC as-sequence. Plant Cell Physiol. 37, 249-255.

Werr, W., Frommer, W.-B., Maas, C. and Starlinger, P. (1985) Structure of the sucrose synthase gene on chromosome 9 of Zea mays L. EMBO J. 4, 1373-1380.

15

10

5

These results indicate that Alfin1 protein could be a ubiquitous root specific transcription factor, involved in gene regulation under a wide variety of circumstances and could be used to enhance root growth for purposes of nutrient uptake, resistance to biotic and abiotic stress and general increase in plant yield under a variety of growth conditions. It is believed that Alfin1 is an essential transcription factor for gene expression in plants, especially in plant roots and expected that Alfin1 binding sequences function in gene promoters for Alfin1 protein regulation of gene expression controlled by these promoters and lead to enhanced mRNA accumulation from these genes. These predictions have been tested in transgenic plants that overexpress Alfin1.

25

20

To test the effect of Alfin1 protein overexpression and underexpression on endogenous genes in alfalfa, Alfin1 was cloned in sense and antisense orientation and transformed in alfalfa leaf discs or immature ovaries with the constructs as shown in FIG. 4. (See: Winicov, I. and Bastola, D.R. (1999) Transgenic over-expression of the transcription factor Alfin1 enhances expression of the endogenous MsPRP2 gene in alfalfa and improves salinity tolerance of the plants. Plant Physiol.).

30

#### **Recombinant Plasmid Construction**

Full length coding *Alfin1* clone (pA50) consists of a 904 bp fragment of *Alfin1* cDNA (GenBank accession # L07291) in pBluescript SK- (Stratagene). It contains

a 30 bp 5' untranslated leader, a complete 771 bp coding sequence and 103 bp of the 3' untranslated region including the translation termination codon (Winicov 1993, supra). This cDNA fragment was cloned in the sense and antisense orientation in the multiple cloning site of the binary expression vector pGA643 as shown in FIG. 4.

To generate the sense construct, the 939 bp HindIII-XbaI fragment from pBluescript SK- was first subcloned in pFLAG (International Biotechnologies Inc., New Haven, CT), shown as PF-pA50, to gain a restriction site suitable for cloning the cDNA fragment in pGA643. The 957 bp HindIII-BgIII fragment from PF-pA50, containing Alfin1 cDNA was then ligated to pGA643 in the multiple cloning site (MCS) 3' to the CaMV 35S promoter to give pGA-Sense. This clone would be predicted to give the complete Alfin1 coding transcript, but unlike the endogenous Alfin1 mRNA would carry additional sequences from the vector in its 3'UTR.

To generate the anti-sense construct (pGA-ATS), the 944 bp ClaI-XbaI fragment from pA50 (pBluescript SK-) was directly ligated into the pGA643 MCS site. Although another ClaI site is reported upstream to the MCS in pGA643, we found that only the ClaI site in MCS, indicated in FIG. 4, was cut by the enzyme.

The plasmids, pGA-Sense, pGA-ATS (antisense) and pGA643 (vector) were propagated in *Escherichia coli* strain MC1000 in presence of tetracycline. Freeze-thaw method was used in transforming *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* LBA 4404 with the recombinant binary plasmid. Transformed colonies were selected on 12mg/l rifampicin and 6 mg/l tetracycline. Recombinant transformed colonies were identified by colony hybridization using the *Alfin1* 670bp EcoRI fragment from pA50.

#### Plant Transformation

5

10

15

20

25

Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* Regen S) salt-sensitive wild type parent plant #1 (Winicov, 1991, supra) leaves were transformed by *Agrobacterium* co-cultivation on SH growth medium, including 2 mg/l 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) and 2 mg/l kinetin (See: Schenk, R.U. and Hildebrandt, A.C. <u>Medium and techniques for induction and growth of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant cell cultures.</u>

Can. J. Bot., 1972; 50, 199-204) and supplemented with 50  $\mu$ M acetosyringone (Aldrich Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) for 30 to 60 min at room temperature. One of the successful transformations was carried by co-cultivating Agrobacterium carrying the pGA-ATS with immature ovaries from the salt-tolerant alfalfa IW#9 (Winicov, 1991, supra). After two to six days on callus medium, the explants were transferred to selection medium (SH medium supplemented with 300 mg/l carbenicillin and 100 mg/l kanamycin) and incubated 3-4 weeks. The resistant calli were subcultured on the selection medium on a monthly basis. Plants were regenerated from the transformed calli on SH medium (without hormones) supplemented with 100 mg/l kanamycin. Plants with well defined shoots and roots were transferred to peat moss and subsequently to soil.

5

10

15

20

Callus cultures transformed with the sense construct showed improved growth on 171 mM NaCl and callus cultures transformed with the antisense construct were more sensitive to the same NaCl concentration as shown in Table 3 below. However, both transformants were able to grow well on normal Schenk and Hildebrandt (1972, supra) medium in continuous light. These results are consistent with our previous observations that our salt-tolerant calli showed an increase of Alfin1 transcription as measured by nuclear run-on experiments (See: Winicov, I. and Krishnan, M. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional activation of genes in salt-tolerant alfalfa cells. Planta, 1996; 200, 397-404) together with slightly increased steady state mRNA levels when the cells were grown on NaCl.

Table 3. Cell Growth of Transformed and Untransformed Alfalfa Cell Lines.

| 25 |                   | _(        | Growth* (g wet weight   | /plate)                 |
|----|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|    | Cell line         | Kanamycin | 0 - NaCl                | 171 mM NaCl             |
|    | 1,1-untransformed | •         | $5.49 \pm 0.81$ $(n=2)$ | $0.90 \pm 0.47$ $(n=3)$ |
| 30 | 1,1-t-vector(3)b  | +         | $4.34 \pm 1.35$         | $1.08 \pm 0.20$         |

|    |  |   | (n=4)                   | (n=6)                            |
|----|--|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 5  | 1,1-t-Alfin1-sense(6) <sup>b</sup>     | + | $5.06 \pm 1.13$ (n=7)   | $1.63 \pm 0.38$ $(n=9)$          |
| C  | 1,5-untransformed                      | ٤ | $5.36 \pm 0.84$ $(n=3)$ | $1.30 \pm 0.48$ (n=3)            |
| 10 | 1,5-t-vector(2) <sup>b</sup>           | + | $3.83 \pm 0.27$ (n=6)   | $1.25 \pm 0.27$ $(n=6)$          |
|    | 1,5-t-Alfin1-antisense(4) <sup>b</sup> | + | $3.39 \pm 0.91$ (n=7)   | $0.93 \pm 0.23^{\circ}$<br>(n=6) |

Growth (mean  $\pm$  SD) after four weeks on SH medium  $\pm$  171 mM NaCl, using an initial inoculum of about 0.1 g/callus and 5 calli/plate. n = number of plates.

Number in parenthesis: number of different individual transformants included in test.

<sup>c</sup> Brown, dead callus.

15

20

25

30

35

The role of Alfin1 in plant development became more apparent when plants were regenerated from the transgenic calli. Alfin1 expression appeared to be necessary for root production, since Alfin1 antisense expressing calli regenerated shoots but were deficient in root production and the few plants in which minimal root production was obtained, did not survive in soil for more than a few weeks. In contrast, calli containing vector only, or sense constructs regenerated plants that are vigorous, flower and set seed, despite the fact that the sense constructs are under the full CaMV 35S promoter and express the transgene in both roots and leaves. FIG. 5 shows a composite picture of: 1) two large plants expressing Alfin1 in the sense orientation; 2) the only small antisense plant that survived in soil for a few months; and 3) some root-less antisense plants after several months on regeneration medium. It is clear from these results that Alfin1 expression is essential for root development and plant growth in soil and supports the belief that Alfin1 protein is a ubiquitous root specific transcription factor.

Since our DNA binding experiments with recombinant Alfin1 showed specific binding to the MsPRP2 promoter, MsPRP2 mRNA levels were measured in transgenic calli and plants overexpressing Alfin1. (See: Winicov and Bastola, 1999, supra). In transgenic calli and plant roots Alfin1 overexpression was accompanied by increased levels of MsPRP2 mRNA as shown in FIGS. 6A and 6C in which the Callus. data shows, in Lanes 1 and 2: RNA isolated from untransformed salt-tolerant callus grown ± 171 mM NaCl for four weeks. Lane 3: RNA isolated from untransformed with pGA vector. Lanes 5-8: RNA isolated from salt-sensitive callus transformed with Alfin1 sense construct; S1, S2, S4 and S6 are independently transformed lines. Lane 9: RNA isolated from S2 transformed callus grown in 171 mM NaCl. Each lane contained 10 µg total RNA.

5

10

15

20

25

Each blot was hybridized sequentially with the following probes: Alfin1, large EcoRI fragment (FIG. 1); MsPRP2, the carboxyterminal and 3'untranslated region fragment (Winicov and Deutch, 1994, supra), Msc27, fragment of a constitutively expressed alfalfa gene. In each cell line transformed with Alfin1 sense construct, Alfin1 overexpression is accompanied by increased levels of MsPRP2 mRNA.

FIG. 6B shows that plants transformed with Alfin1 express the transgene as monitored with the PGA-vector tag in Alfin1 mRNA.

FIG. 6C shows Alfin1 and MsPRP expression in Roots and Leaves. Total RNA was isolated from roots and leaves of the same plant. #1 is control salt-sensitive plant, IV is empty vector transformed plant, S1, S2, and S3 are plants transformed with Alfin1 sense construct and regenerated from transformed callus. #9 is a salt-tolerant control plant. Each blot was hybridized sequentially with the following probes: Alfin1, large EcoRI fragment (FIG. 1); MsPRP2, the carboxyterminal and 3'untranslated region fragment; Msc27, fragment of a constitutively expressed alfalfa gene to monitor for loading of each lane. Each lane contained 10µg of total RNA. These results demonstrate that increased expression of Alfin1 led to increased levels of mRNA accumulation from the endogenous MsPRP2 gene, consistent with Alfin1

role in MsPRP2 transcriptional activation. However, this transcriptional activation was root specific, since leaves from the same transgenic plants showed increased Alfin1 mRNA levels without a concomitant increase in MsPRP2 transcripts, implying an interaction between Alfin1 and other gene product(s) present in the root for MsPRP2—transcriptional activation. Because Alfin1 contains a very acidic domain as shown in FIG. 1B, just upstream from the postulated zinc finger region, Alfin1 could interact also with additional factors in binding to DNA. Interestingly, the MsPRP2 promoter sequence shown in FIG. 3 contains numerous myc and myb recognition sites, several of which lie in close proximity to the Alfin1 binding sites, suggesting the possibility of interactions with these transcription factors, similar to those already shown for myc and myb in Arabidopsis (Abe et al., 1997, supra).

5

10

15

20

25

The results obtained support a central role for Alfin1 in root development and root specific gene expression. Additional experiments support the role of Alfin1 overexpression in enhanced root growth under normal and stress conditions. Plants compared under the test conditions include the salt-sensitive parent #1 from which leaves were used for transformation experiments, transgenics transformed with the vector alone and transgenics which express high levels of Alfin1. Controls also include salt tolerant plant #9, which when transformed with the antisense construct that could not develop roots. For measurement of root growth and salt tolerance of the Alfin1 overexpressing transgenic plants, rooted cuttings were established in containers in PERLITE (Paxlite, pax Co., Salt Lake City, UT) from the above plants and watered daily with a regimen of water to flush out any accumulation of salts, followed by thorough watering with 1/4 strength Hoagland's solution as described in (Winicov, 1991, supra). Preliminary results of plant root and shoot growth measurements in (cm) length as well total mass by weight (g) after four weeks confirmed our theory. As shown in Table 4, below, plants transformed with Alfin1 show enhanced root growth (438% above parental control) as the postulated role of Alfin1 in root development would predict. Current experiments extended these measurements to other individually regenerated Alfin1 containing transgenic plants.

Table 4. Enhanced root growth by transgenic Alfalfa overexpressing Alfan1

|                    |  |     |                          | <del></del> |
|--------------------|--|-----|--------------------------|-------------|
| Experii<br>Plant   | ment 1 (28 days)  Root length*(cm)                 | %   | Root wt.*(g)             | %           |
| #1 Par             |  | 100 | $0.32 \pm 0.23$ $(n=3)$  | 100         |
| #1+ve<br>transfo   |  | 128 | $0.65 \pm 0.50$ (n=3)    | 203         |
|                    | $fin1$ -1 19.0 $\pm$ 1.3 ransformed (n=3)          | 184 | $1.39 \pm 0.83$ (n=3)    | 438         |
| #9-con<br>salt-tol | trol $14.0 \pm 6.2$<br>erant <sup>b</sup> $(n=11)$ | 136 | $0.55 \pm 0.37$ $(n=11)$ | 172         |
| Experi<br>Plant    | ment 2 (20 days) Root length*(cm)                  | %   | Root wt.*(g)             | %           |
| #1 Par             |  | 100 | $0.35 \pm 0.18$ (n=8)    | 100         |
| transfo            | •  | 148 | $0.35 \pm 0.19$ (n=5)    | 100         |
| sense t            | fin 1-1 19.4 ± 2.5 ransf. (n=21)                   | 303 | $1.87 \pm 0.91$ (n=21)   | 534         |
| sense t            | , ,  | 302 | $1.09 \pm 0.38$ (n=11)   | 311         |
| sense t            | , ,  | 277 | $1.06 \pm 0.35$ $(n=6)$  | 303         |
| #9-con<br>salt-tol |  | 273 | $0.85 \pm 0.41$ (n=15)   | 243         |

All measurements expressed as M±SD of replicate cuttings of individual plants after growth for the indicated time. Alfin1-1, Alfin1-2, Alfin1-3 are three different plants regenerated from different transformation events. Average daytime temperatures in the greenhouse were warmer in Exp. 2.

40

This is a salt-tolerant plant selected in tissue culture on 171 mM NaCl and regenerated as previously described (Winicov, 1991, supra).

Comparative root growth experiments with cuttings of the above described plants also was carried out in soil, using equal size pots under greenhouse conditions and a regular watering schedule. While growth rates can vary between PERLITE and soil, the relative rates of root and shoot growth between the various test plants and controls remained substantially the same.

5

10

15

20

25

It was also believed that transgenic plants overexpressing Alfin1 with improved root development would also show improved salt-tolerance. Salt-tolerance was measured as described previously (See: Winicov, I. Characterization of salt tolerant alfalfa (Medicago sativa L. plants regenerated from salt tolerant cell lines. Plant Cell Reports, 1991; 10, 561-564.). The plants were established in Conetainers as above, cut back and divided into two groups with at least five replica cuttings of each individual regenerated plant in each group. Group I (control, or 0% NaCl), was treated with the regimen of water and 1/4 strength Hoagland's as described above. Group II was treated with the Hoagland's solution containing 0.5% or more NaCl. Tolerance is expressed as number of survivors per number of replica plants in each group after treatment. Plant growth is quantitated by harvesting the shoots of surviving plants as the end of each experiment and calculated as the average total shoot fresh weight per plant in each group. This value represents the net increase in mass during the test period under the given salt conditions.

Although increased root growth in the Alfin1 overexpressing plants under normal conditions together with more vigorous shoot growth was expected, the salt-stress test may not accurately predict salt-tolerance capabilities of Alfin1 overexpression under tissue specific regulatory conditions. Our current transgenic plants overexpressing Alfin1 under the 35S promoter express this gene product inappropriately in the leaves which under stress conditions may be influenced adversely by the inappropriate presence of this gene product. However, tissue

specific regulation of Alfin1 function seems to mostly override this potential problem. Thus accurate assessment of enhanced biotic and abiotic resistance of the Alfin1 overexpressing transgenics may be even improved by construction of new transgenics in which Alfin1 expression will be more tightly under the control of a root specific promoter. Such a promoter, which is the MsPRP2 promoter shown in FIG. 3, (has been cloned), for construction of root specific Alfin1 transgene and to direct additional Alfin1 expression to roots. Essentially, the 35S promoter for the sense and antisense constructs shown in FIG. 4 is replaced with the 1552 bp promoter of MsPRP2 and the transformation repeated as before. Since this promoter also binds Alfin1 protein as demonstrated by our current tests, it is believed that these root specific Alfin1 transgenics will perform even better than the Alfin1 sense transgenics under the 35S promoter shown by the current results obtained.

Creation of transgenic plants containing the Alfin1 cDNA transgene can be accomplished by Agrobacterium transformation protocol as described or other methods commonly used in plant molecular biology, such as electroporation, infiltration, polyethylene glycol mediated gene transfer in protoplasts, liposome mediated transfer or particle bombardment (Plant Molecular Biology Manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Eds. Gelvin, S.B. and Schilperoort, R.A. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1998).

20

5

10

15

The Alfin1 transgene can be under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter as described. In addition the Alfin1 transgene can be placed under the control of the full or partial 1500 bp MsPRP2 promoter FIG. 3 (Bastola, Pethe, and Winicov, 1998, supra) using appropriate restriction sites in the promoter region and Alfin1 sense construct described in FIG. 4 to construct a new Alfin1 expression vector for creation of transgenic plants overexpressing the Alfin1 protein.

25

The full or partial *MsPRP2* promoter sequence (Bastola, Pethe and Winicov, 1998, <u>supra</u>) can be also used by itself or in conjunction with other promoter sequence elements to construct new composite promoter regulatory sequences, (using routine molecular biology techniques that re-ligate specific DNA fragments cut by

restriction enzymes) that would give root specific and/or Alfin1 protein regulated expression to other genes transferred into plants.

The Alfin1 protein binding sequences (Bastola, Pethe and Winicov, 1998, supra) can be also used by themselves, as concatenates or in conjunction with other promoter sequence elements to construct new composite promoter regulatory sequences (using routine molecular biology techniques that re-ligate specific DNA fragments cut by restriction enzymes) that would give root specific and/or Alfin1 protein regulated expression to other genes transferred into plants.

5

10

15

20

25

30

It is believed that introduction of Alfin1 binding sites in appropriate promoter contexts could lead to regulation of additional genes by Alfin 1.

It is further believed that any molecular interference with Alfin1 (or its analogue) expression or function in plant roots by any compound or molecule will inhibit plant root development, plant growth and, as such, effectively act as herbicide.

Finally, the increased root growth by plants overexpressing *Alfin1* increases plant survival under saline conditions and continues to provide growth under conditions where the parent plants and plants transformed with the empty vector produce a minimal shoot yield as shown. See: Tables 5A, 5B, 6 and 7 below.

**Table 5A.** Enhanced Root Growth by Transgenic Alfalfa Overexpressing *Alfin1* in Presence of 171 mM NaCl.

| Plant                                 | Root length*(cm)    | %   | Root wt.*(g)            | %   |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|
| #1 Parent                             | $3.8 \pm 0.8$ (n=7) | 100 | $0.20 \pm 0.12$ (n=7)   | 100 |
| #1+Alfin1-1 sense transf.             |                     | 395 | $0.78 \pm 0.39$ $(n=7)$ | 350 |
| #1+Alfin1-2 sense transf.             |                     | 289 | $0.55 \pm 0.40$ (n=7)   | 275 |
| #9-control salt-tolerant <sup>b</sup> | $7.1 \pm 3.5$ (n=7) | 189 | $0.34 \pm 0.17$ (n=7)   | 170 |

All measurements expressed as M ± SD of replicate cuttings of individual plants. The rooted cuttings (root size approximately 1 cm) were planted in Conetainers with PERLITE and watered with 1/4 strength Hoagland's solution for 6 days. From day 7 until day 20 watering continued with the Hoagland's solution containing 171 mM NaCl. Shoot death occurred from day. 11 through day 20 as depicted in Table 2. All roots were measured on day 20. This is a salt tolerant plant selected in tissue culture on 171 mM NaCl and regenerated as previously described (Winicov, 1991 supra).

Table 5B. Shoot survival by transgenic Alfalfa overexpressing Alfin1 in 171 mM NaCl.

|    | Plant                                 | Surviving on Day 11 <sup>a</sup> | %   | Surviving on Day 11*% |   |
|----|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|---|
| 15 | #1 Parent                             | 5/7                              | 71  | 1/7 14                |   |
|    | #1+Alfin1-1 sense transf.             | 6/7                              | 86  | 3/7 43                | 3 |
| 20 | #1+Alfin1-2 sense transf.             | 6/7                              | 86  | 5/7 71                | i |
| 25 | #9-control salt-tolerant <sup>b</sup> | 7/7                              | 100 | 6/7 86                | 5 |

5

10

30

35

All measurements expressed as M ± SD of replicate cuttings of individual plants. The rooted cuttings (root size approximately 1 cm) were planted in Conetainers with PERLITE and watered with 1/4 strength Hoagland's solution for 6 days. From day 7 until day 20 watering continued with the Hoagland's solution containing 171 mM NaCl. Shoot death occurred from day 11 through day 20 as depicted in Table 2. All roots were measured on day 20. This is a salt tolerant plant selected in tissue culture on 171 mM NaCl and regenerated as previously described (Winicov, 1991 supra).

Table 6. Growth Properties of Alfin1 'sense' transformed plants on 128mM NaCl.

|    | Plant        | Survival | New leaf growth (g/plant) | <sub>%</sub> |
|----|--------------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|
| 40 | #1 (parent)  | 4/5      | 0.56±0.32                 | 100          |
|    | #1 + vector  | 4/5      | $0.42 \pm 0.32$           | 75           |
|    | #1 + sense-1 | 7/7      | $1.40\pm0.17$             | 250          |
|    | #1 + sense-2 | 7/7      | 1.85±0.23                 | 330          |

| #1 + sense-3     | 3/3 | $1.45 \pm 0.32$ | 259 |
|------------------|-----|-----------------|-----|
| IW9 <sup>b</sup> | 7/7 | $1.10 \pm 0.18$ | 196 |

Rooted multiple cuttings from each plant were established in Conetainers in—PERLITE for six weeks and grown on 1/4 strength Hoagland's solution. All shoots were then cut back to the crown. Growth was continued from that point on 1/4 strength Hoagland's supplemented with 128 mM (0.75%) NaCl. The newly regrown shoots were harvested and weighed after 17 days. Weight in g (M±SD).

Salt-tolerant plant regenerated after selection in tissue culture from parent plant #1 (Winicov, 1991 supra).

Further tests demonstrating that plants over-expressing Alfin1 outperformed the parent plants and plants transformed with the empty vector in short yield under test conditions, which was consistent with their enhanced root development.

The results are shown below in Table 7.

**Table 7.** Shoot growth properties of Alfin1 'sense' transformed plants on 1/4 strength Hoagland's solution.

| Plant        | Survival | New leaf growth (g/plant) | %   |
|--------------|----------|---------------------------|-----|
| #1 (parent)  | 5/5      | $0.42 \pm 0.10$           | 100 |
| #1 + vector  | 5/5      | $1.07 \pm 0.61$           | 254 |
| #1 + sense-1 | 7/7      | $2.36 \pm 0.33$           | 562 |
| #1 + sense-2 | 5/5      | $1.77 \pm 0.74$           | 421 |
| #1 + sense-3 | 3/3      | $1.60 \pm 0.71$           | 381 |
| IW9⁵         | 7/7      | $1.41 \pm 0.35$           | 335 |

Rooted multiple cuttings from each plant were established in Conetainers in PERLITE for six weeks and grown on 1/4 strength Hoagland's solution. All shoots were then cut back to the crown. Growth was continued from that point on 1/4 strength Hoagland's. The newly regrown shoots were harvested and weighed after 17 days. Weight in g (M±SD).

Salt-tolerant plant regenerated after selection in tissue culture from parent plant #1 (Winicov, 1991 supra).

From the foregoing it is readily apparent that a new and useful embodiment of the present invention has been herein described and illustrated which fulfills all

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

of the aforestated objects in a remarkably unexpected fashion. It is, of course, understood that such modification, alterations and adaptations as may readily occur to the artisan confronted with this disclosure are intended within the spirit of this invention which is limited only by the scope of the claims appended hereto.

4. 38.

#### **Claims**

5

10


20

25

- 1. The method of creating a transgenic plant comprising applying Alfin1 transgene as a binding transcription factor to a non-transgenic plant.
- 2. The method of Claim 1 in which said Alfin1 transgene is expressed into said non-transgenic plant.
  - 3. The method of Claim 2 in which said transgenic plant obtains enhanced root growth and enhanced expression of root specific genes.
  - 4. The method of Claim 2 in which said transgenic plant obtains enhanced resistance to stress.
  - 5. The method of Claim 2 in which said transgenic plant obtains enhanced yield of plant vegetative growth.
  - 6. The method of Claim 4 in which said stress is biotic.
  - 7. The method of Claim 4 in which said stress is abiotic.
- 15 8. The method of Claim 5 in which said plant vegetative growth comprise enhanced yield of plant root and improves tuber, plant fruit and plant seed growth.
  - 9. The method of Claim 1 in which said Alfin1 transgene is under full or partial control of a 1500 bp MsPRP2 promoter.
  - 10. The method according to Claim 9 in which said MsPRP2 promoter is used as a root directed promoter in transgenic plants to express genes.
  - 11. The method according to Claim 10 in which said MsPRP2 promoter is used as a root directed promoter in transgenic plants to express Alfin1.
  - 12. The method of using Alfin1 protein binding sequences by themselves, as concatamers, or in conjunction with other promoter sequence elements to construct new composite promoter sequences and provide root specific and/or Alfin1 protein regulated expression to other genes transferred into plants.
  - 13. An Alfalfa MsPRP2 promoter having the sequence shown in FIG. 3.

This is Alfin cDNA sequence of the clone as submitted to GenBank #L07291

GTACCTCGAACTGTTGAAGAAGTTTTTAGCGATTACAAAGGCAGACGCGCCGGT TTGATCAAAGCTCTCACTACTGACGTTGAAAAGTTTTACCAGCTCGTCGATCCC GAAAAGGAGAATTTGTGCCTCTATGGGTTTCCAAATGAAACATGGGAAGTGAAC TTGCCTGTTGAGGAAGTGCCTCCTGAACTTCCCGAGCCAGCATTGGGTATAAA CTTTGCTCGGGATGGAATGCAGGAGAAGGACTGGTTATCACTGGTTGCAGTTC ACAGTGACTCATGGCTGCTCGCTGTTGCTTTCTATTTTGGTGCCCGCTTTGG ATTTGGTAAGAATGATAGGAAAAGGCTTTTTCAGATGATAAATGA TCTGCCCACAGTCTTTGAGCTTGCAACAGGAACTGCTAAGCAATCAAAGGAC CAACTGACTGCTCACAACAATGGTAGCAATAGCAAATACAAATCAAGTGGAAAGT CCCGCCAGTCTGAATCCCAGACCAAGGGTGTGAAGATGTCTGCACCGGTCAAAG AAGAGGTTGACAGTGGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGATGATGAACAAGGTGCAAC CTGTGGTGCTTGTGATAATTATGGCACCGATGAATTCTGGATCTGTTGT GATATGTGCGAGAAATGGTTCCATGGTAAATGTGTTAAAATTACTCCTGCCAAG GCTGAACACATCAAGCAATACAAGTGCCCTGGCTGCAGTATCAAGAAGCCAAGAA GCATTTCTGTAAGTGAAACCATTGTTGTCTGCATAGTCACTTAAAGGGAATTCC



# MKETAAAKFERQIIMDSPDLGTLVPRGSMAISDPNSSSVDKLG

ATG

†<sub>TAG</sub>

MEGMAQHPVPRTVEEVFSDYKGRRAGLIKALTIDVEK FYQLVDPEKENLCLYGFPNETWEVNLPVEEVPPELPEP ALGINFARDGMQEKDWLSLVAVHSDSWLLAVAFYFG ARFGFGKNDRKRLFQMINDLPTVFELATGTAKQSKDQ LTAHNNGSNSKYKSSGKSRQSESQTKGVKMSAPVK EE VDSGEEEEEDDDEQGATCGACGDNYGTDEFWICCDM CEKWFHGKCVKITPAKAEHIKQYKCPGCSIKKPRIG 3/9

## DNA Sequences that bind Alfin in vitro

| Clone | Sequence                                     |
|-------|--|
|       | 5'primer Insert                              |
| .6    | 5'GACG-GCTGGGGGAAAGTGA <u>GCGGTG</u> GCCC 3' |
| 7*    | GACG-CAAAG <u>GGGGTGGGGACGGCG</u> CTTTT      |
| 18°   | GACG-CAAAGGGGGGTGGGGACGGCGCTTTT              |
| 15    | GACG-GGTAGG <u>GTGTGGGGGGTG</u> TTTTATT      |
| 16    | GACG-GGGATAGGTGAGGTGGAGGGACAAT               |
| 22    | GACG-GCAGAAGGGAGAAACGTGGAGAATC               |
| 25    | GACG-GCGGGAAGGAGTGTGGTAGAGAGCC               |
| 21    | GACG-AAGGAAGGACGGCAGCGTGTTGC                 |
| 5     | GACG-AAAANTTANAN <u>GTGTAGGTGGG</u> ACT      |
|       |  |

<sup>• -</sup> individual isolates

Consensus: 2-5 Triplets bordered by G and containing at least one GTG, most conforming to the high affinity Zif268 binding sites

## MsPRP2 Fragments

| 211 | coding     | 5' -299 GT <u>GTGGGG</u> CCC-289  |
|-----|------------|-----------------------------------|
|     | non-coding | 5' -390 AAA <u>GTGGGG</u> GCA-398 |
| 218 | non-coding | 5' -826 GAT <u>GTGTG</u> TTC-838  |
| 187 | non-coding | 51 -504 CAAGTGGTGCTG-515          |
|     | non-coding | 5' -463 AAAGCGGTGCTG-414          |

ATATTTATTACGTTGATGGTAAAAAATAAATATAATTTGTTACCATTTAAAAGTCATAA1381 GGTGCCATTCACGATTCTTCTTGGTGCAGCTTGGAGAACCCTATCCTGGGCTTGGAAGAT-1261 TCATCCTTCCTACCAAAAAAAAAAAGTCATAAATATAGTTTATACATATAACTTTAATA1141 ATAATTTACATGCCGTTACGGTAAAAAATGGATAAATTGGGTATGGAGTACTAGTAATTA1021 ATAAGGTTCATTGGTTAAAAAAACTAAAAAATAATTTCTCTCCTGATTTATATGAAATGA 961 CATTTTTTTGGAACATGAAGGGTATTGATTTTTACCACCTTTTACACCTTTCAAAGCCAT-901 TCAAGGATGAATATAGATTTTTGGGCGATCAAACACAAGAATCATTACGATAACATGCTT-841 Tfil TGGAACACACATGCTTAAATTAATG<u>GTTGGA</u>GTATCAAATTTTTAAAATATTGTTGTCA 781 Alfin1\*/myc myb\* ATACATACCCCGTCAATCTTCTTTTTTTACCCAATAAACATTGAAATGTTGCTTCTTTC 721 Alfin1\* GTTAAGCATAAAAACATCAAAGTCTAGCAAAATGTTGTTTTTGCGATGACACATTTCATA 661 TAGTTTAAAGGATGCATGATTCGATTACAAAAACAAAATACTAATAATTCTAGCACAAAG 601 Tfil TITAAAGCAAGATTATAAAGCTTCATAGCATGTGGATATTCATTTAGAAATATAGATTAG 541 myc ATTGCCCCTTTCATCACGGGTCTAACAGCACCACTTGTCACTACATGTCAAAAATGTCCT-481 myb/Alfin1\*/myc Alfin1\* Tfil Alfin1\* GACTCATATTGATCAAATTTGGCTATGAATTCAAACAAAAAATTCACTCTACCCATTGCA 301 mvc\ Alfin1 Tfil CTGCAATACGGTCCGTGAATGTGATCACTCACGAGAAAGAGGTATCAAAATTTCAAGGTA 121 TTTTATTTATTTTAACAAATAAAATTTCAAGGTCTTGTTCACCA<u>TATAAA</u>CCTCCTCAC 61 ATGCTAACTATCCTAGCCAATGTTTTCATCCTTCTCTTGAACTTGAGTACCTTACTC+ 60 Met






FIG. 4







A B

# CALLUS

|                           | <u>Tolerant</u>   | Sensitive                |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| NaCI<br><u>Transgenic</u> | • +<br><u>• •</u> | +<br>- 1V S1 S6 S4 S2 S2 |
| Alfin1                    |                   |                          |
| MsPRP2                    |                   |                          |
| Msc27                     |                   |                          |

FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




FIG. 6C

#### (12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

## (19) World Intellectual Property Organization

International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 21 October 1999 (21.10.1999)

#### (10) International Publication Number WO 1999/053016 A3

(51) International Patent Classification7: C12N 15/82, 15/87, C07H 21/04

A01H 1/00,

[US/US]; 5452 North 78th Street, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (US).

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US1999/007902

(74) Agent: MYBECK, Richard, R.; Suite 10, 8010 East Morgan Trail, Scottsdale, AZ 85258 (US).

(84) Designated States (regional): European patent (AT, BE,

CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC,

(22) International Filing Date:

8 April 1999 (08.04.1999)

(81) Designated States (national): CA, JP, US.

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

60/081,348

9 April 1998 (09.04.1998) US

Not furnished

7 April 1999 (07.04.1999)

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): ARI-ZONA BOARD OF REGENTS, acting on behalf of ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY [US/US]; Tempe, AZ 85287 (US).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): WINICOV, Ilga

with international search report

NL, PT, SE).

Published:

before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of

(88) Date of publication of the international search report: 24 June 2004

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: EXPRESSION OF ALFINI AND METHODS FOR PRODUCING TRANSGENIC PLANTS HAVING INCREASED ROOT GROWTH AND ROOT SPECIFIC GENE ACTIVATION

(57) Abstract: Alfin1 cDNA encodes a putative transcription factor associated with salt tolerance in alfalfa (Medicago sativa L). The recombinant protein binds DNA in a sequence specific manner, including promoter fragments of the salt inducible gene MsPRP2 Alfin1 function was tested in transgenic alfalfa under the control of the 35S promoter in the sense and antisense orientations with the endogenous MsPRP2 as a reporter gene. Calli overexpressing Alfin1 were more resistant to growth inhibition by 171 mM NaCl than vector transformed controls, while calli expressing Alfin1 antisense were more sensitive to salt inhibition. Transgenic plants overexpressing Alfin1 in the sense orientation grew well. In contrast, the antisense transgenic plants grew poorly in soil, demonstrating that Alfin1 expression is essential for normal plant development. Transgenic calli and plant roots overexpressing Alfin1 showed enhanced levels of endogenous MsPRP2 mRNA accumulation. However, MsPRP2 mRNA accumulation was also regulated in a tissue specific manner as shown in leaves of transgenics overexpressing Alfin1. These results suggest that Alfin1 acts as a transcriptional regulator in plants and MsPRP2 expression in alfalfa. Alfin1 overexpressing transgenics showed salinity tolerance comparable to one of our salt-tolerant plants, indicating that Alfin1 also functions in gene regulation in salt tolerance.

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US99/07902

| IPC(7)  | SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER : A01H 1/00; C12N 15/82, 15/87; C07H 21/0  | 4   |                                       |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| US CL   | : 800/278, 279, 280, 283, 287, 290; 536/24.1<br>o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both   | national classification and IPC   |                                       |
|   | DS SEARCHED  | ALEIDING OMINDAMON GIA H C  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 800/278, 279, 280, 283, 287, 290; 536/24.1                              |  |   |                                       |
| Documentati   | ion searched other than minimum documentation to t   | he extent that such documents are included  | d in the fields searched              |
|   | ata base consulted during the international search (na<br>icola, CAplus, Biosis  | ume of data base and, where practicable, s  | earch terms used)                     |
|   | UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT   |   |                                       |
| Category *  | Citation of document, with indication, where   |   | Relevant to claim No.                 |
| Y   | WALDMULLER et al. GSBF1, A Seedling-Speci<br>Preference for a 'Split' G-Box-Related Element in<br>Mol. Biol. 1996, Vol. 32, pages 631-639, see who   | Brassica napus RbcS Promoters. Plant  | 1-13                                  |
| Y   | IMATAKA et al. Two Regulatory Proteins that Bind to the Basic Transcription Element (BTE), a GC Box Sesquence in the Promoter Region of the Rat P-4501A1 Gene. EMBO J. 1992, Vol. 11, No. 10, pages 3663-3671, see whole document. |   |                                       |
| Y   | DEUTCH et al. Post-Transcriptional Regulation of<br>Encoding a Putative Chimeric Proline-Rich Cell W<br>Vol. 27, pages 411-418, see whole document.  |   | ′ I-13                                |
|   |  |   |                                       |
| Purther   | documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.   | See patent family annex.  |                                       |
| "A" document  | pecial categories of cited documents:  defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be lar relevance   | "T" later document published after the inter<br>date and not in conflict with the applica<br>principle or theory underlying the inven | tion but cited to understand the      |
| "E" earlier app   | plication or patent published on or after the international filing date  | "X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considered when the document is taken alone                   |                                       |
| "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) |  | "Y" document of particular relevance; the ci<br>considered to involve an inventive step<br>combined with one or more other such       | when the document is                  |
| "O" document  | referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  | being obvious to a person skilled in the  |                                       |
| "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  |  | "&" document member of the same patent fa   | mily                                  |
| Date of the actual completion of the international search   |  | Date of mailing of the international search 22 APR 2004   | ch report                             |
| 14 April 2004 (14.04.2004) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US   |  | Authorized officer  |                                       |
| Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US   |  | 400   | 5 los                                 |
| Commissioner for Patents<br>P.O. Box 1450<br>Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  |  | Ashwin Mehta 7, KOUM<br>Telephone No. 571-272-1600  |                                       |
| Lacsimile No  | . (703) 305-3230   |   |                                       |

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

| Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked: |
|---|
| ☐ BLACK BORDERS   |
| ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES                                 |
| ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING   |
| ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING                                  |
| ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES   |
| ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS                                  |
| ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS  |
| ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT                                   |
| ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY                 |
| OTHER:  |

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.